

Open Justice

- Open Courts Act 2013 (Vic) ss 1, 4 and 28
- Lieutenant-Colonel Lilburne's plea (1649) 4 How St Tr 1269, 1273
- Scott v Scott [1913] AC 417, 476-77
- Zhang v The Age Company Pty Ltd [2023] ACTCA 10, [43]-[46]
- Blackstone, Commentaries on the Laws of England, Vol 3 (1768) 373, Book the Fourth: Of Public Wrongs; Chapter the First, Of the Nature of Crimes, and Their Punishment, 2



Five questions

- What am I trying to keep confidential?
- What's the risk I'm trying to avoid?
- What kind of orders might I get?
- Do I really need an order?
- What evidence do I need?



What do I want to keep confidential?

- Name of an accused, or a witness?
 - Names are important
 - PQR v Secretary, DOJR (2017) 53 VR 45 [70]-[71]
 - But can the media still report 'salient facts' without names?
 - Council of NSW Bar Association v EFA (2021) 106 NSWLR 383 [229]
- Particular pieces of evidence (record of interview, photograph)
- Do I want a 'blanket' suppression order?
 - This is a <u>big</u> ask. Make sure you've got a good reason.
- Start drafting your order early. What does it look like on paper?
 - Precision is very important.



What's the risk?

- Am I worried about media coverage?
- Am I worried about disclosure from one person to another (ie word of mouth)?
 - Consider the difference between *disclosure* and *publication*.
 - KF v Parramatta Children's Court [2008] NSWSC 1131 [11]-[17]
 - Nasr v New South Wales (2007) 179 A Crim R 78 [127]
- Am I worried about access to the court file?
 - Should I ask the Court to keep documents 'sealed'?
- Am I worried about access to the recording or a transcript?
 - Should I write to the Court and ask for the right to be heard?



What kind of order?

- Proceeding suppression order
- Broad suppression order
 - Not to be confused with a 'blanket' proceeding suppression order.
- Pseudonym order
- Closed court order
- 'Takedown' order
 - This is a big <u>ask</u>. Courts may consider whether jury directions can cure the prejudice.



Do I really need an order?

- Pause and reflect.
- Will my application attract media attention?
 - Bear in mind notice provisions: *Open Courts Act* ss 10-11
- Can I achieve my objective another way?
 - Do I have to refer to certain facts in open court?
 - Can I use confidential documents or submissions?



What evidence do I need?

Starting point – Section 14 Open Courts Act 2013 (Vic)

- (1) In making a suppression order, a court or tribunal must be satisfied on the basis of evidence, or sufficient credible information that is satisfactory to the court or tribunal, that the grounds for making the order are established.
- (2) This does not apply to an interim order.

"sufficient credible information" – see P Q R v Secretary, Department of Justice and Regulation (No 1) [2017] VSC 513, [53] – [54]

- The information must be sufficient to make out the case "strictly" and "to the standard which the underlying principle requires".
- In some cases, this requirement may be satisfied by the court acting on its own experience and drawing inferences where justified.



What evidence do I need?

The onus is on the Applicant to establish that the order is necessary.

Consider:

- What supporting evidence do I have/need to support my application?
- How can I best present that evidence?
 - Affidavit
 - Viva voce evidence
- Do I need to conference my expert/witness prior to the hearing?



TIPS AND TRICKS FOR A SUCCESSFUL HEARING

- 1. Give as much notice as possible of the application and evidence relied on (note also section 10). There are benefits to early engagement.
- 2. Don't rush consider whether there is sufficient time to make the application. If not, be prepared to seek an interim order.
- 3. Consider your decision maker will oral or written submissions will be most effective.
- 4. Focus submissions on why the orders sought are the *least* interference with the principles of open justice in the circumstances of the case.
- 5. Be prepared and on top of the authorities your opposition will be.
- 6. Have a draft order ready to hand up.



Okay, so I got my order...

- Get a copy from the registry
- · Check it!
- Variations
 - See s 15 of the Open Courts Act 2013, or inherent jurisdiction of the Supreme Court
- Orders post-committal
 - Unsettled area
 - Proceeding suppression order relates to a 'proceeding'
 - Filing of an indictment doesn't commence a new proceeding (CPA s 162)
 - Prudent course review the continuation of the order post-committal



Okay, so I didn't get my order...

- Interim order?
- Stay
- Magistrates' Court and County Court
 - Judicial review
- Supreme Court
 - Appeal to Court of Appeal, requiring leave
 - Supreme Court Act 1986 ss 17, 17A(4)(b)
- Fresh applications
 - No express constraint on further applications
 - But consider whether you can rely on new facts





